



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/878,876	06/11/2001	Sean S. Jensen-Grey	PU010088	2637
759	90 07/10/2003			
Joseph S. Tripoli THOMSON multimedia Licensing Inc. Patent Operations, Two Independence Way			EXAMINER	
			AMSBURY, WAYNE P	
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2171	8
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summans	09/878,876	JENSEN-GREY, SEAN S.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAN INC DATE of this communication on	Wayne Amsbury	2171
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	nui the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuen any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tte, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	April 2002 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application		·
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/Application Papers	or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	Per	•
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10/9/01</u> is/are: a)⊠ ac	•	v the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	* ' '	• •
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language present 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	• •	•
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

CLAIMS 1-16 ARE PENDING

1. Claims 1-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1 line 3, "one of a search term"

In claim 8 line 2, "in system"; line 5 "every of"; line 12-13 "at least search term".

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said at least one search term" in lines 7-8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

All of the independent claims fail to provide a nexus between providing at least one search term [see line 3 claim 1] and the search result addressed in the remainder of the claim. In the interest of compact prosecution, it is assumed that the search result is derived from application of the search term.

All of the independent claims contain an infinite loop in the process described.

Using claim 1 as an example, the parsed metadata, derived from a presumed search of an initial term is said to determine the initial term itself.

1 3

Ápplication/Control Number: 09/878,876

Art Unit: 2171

In the interest of compact prosecution it is considered that there are precisely two searches claimed, one of an initial search term and another determined from parsed metadata derived from the initial search.

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In independent **claim 9**, the context of "program readable medium" is not statutory, as opposed to the MPEP 2106 guideline: "computer readable medium". A program *per se* is an abstraction, possibly a conceptual plan, not necessarily in the technological arts.

In claim 16, a "method" is an abstraction, as opposed to the MPEP 2106 guideline: --computer-implemented method--.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hanson et al (Hanson), US 6,490,585, 3 December 2002.

Application/Control Number: 09/878,876 Page 4

Art Unit: 2171

Hanson receives a search request, typically embodied in JAVA script, that includes search terms and is interpreted in relation to metadata at a number of locations [FIG 4 & 13, COL 4 line 63 to COL 5 line 67].

As to claims 1-2, the initial request is used to search a repository 18 [COL 5 lines 24-33] for metadata that corresponds to metadata associated with a search result. This is in turn used to search the individual databases [COL 5 lines 12-23]. Parsing is inherent in deriving terms embedded in the script and data objects [COL 6 lines 25-34 and elsewhere].

As to **claim 3**, several of the databases noted in Hanson are relational databases, SQL is explicitly applied at COL 10 line 60 and after, and the use of relational database objects are noted at COL 9 lines 18-21.

As to **claims 4 and 7**, the databases are accessed through a browser [FIG 6 and elsewhere].

As to **claims 5-6**, Hanson is clearly intended for media [COL 1 lines 19-30 and elsewhere].

The elements of **claims 8-16** are rejected in the above analysis and these claims are rejected on that basis.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Amsbury whose telephone number is 703-305-3828. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7-5.

Ápplication/Control Number: 09/878,876

Art Unit: 2171

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9700.

WPA July 8, 2003 WAYNE AMSBURY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER